



ENVIRONMENTAL AND
SOCIAL RISK SCREENING
CHECKLIST

Gagra khola Laghu Jalbidhit Marmat
Aayojana
Barekot Rural Municipality, Jajarkot

Annex 1: Screening tool - simplified L1 and L2 questions for community consultation

To be used during the participatory planning process or similar community level consultations, using the following tools: community mapping, community transect walk, community planning, and/or ad-hoc focus group discussions.

E&S Standard 1: Sustainable Natural Resources Management		Y/N	Level	Annotations
1	Could the intervention alter the land cover of forests, wetlands, farming land, grazing land, or other landscapes of ecological or economic importance?	N	Low	The intervention could not degrade the natural vegetation like forest, wetlands, farming/grazing land or other landscapes of ecological
2	Could the intervention alter the quantity or quality of groundwater, surface water, or sea water?	N	Low	The intervention mitigates in the soil erosion and land scouring due to of surface runoff water. Without disturbing natural pattern and environmental aspects, the intervention will be constructed which will not bring environmental concern.
3	Could the intervention degrade soils, increase soil erosion, or increase sediment load in surface water flows?	N	Low	With the implementation of the intervention, soil erosion regarding other natural pattern will be mitigates due to of surface water runoff.
E&S Standard 2: Ecosystems and Biodiversity			Level	Annotations
4	Could the intervention negatively affect natural habitats, ecosystems, or biodiversity?	N	Low	The intervention has no negative impacts on natural habitats, endangered or protected animals or species, ecosystem/biodiversity. With the implementation of this interventions, dry land gets irrigated and farmland area will be expanded which will directly and indirectly support in people's livelihood regarding in food security as well.
5	Could the intervention lead to negative impacts in protected areas?	N	Low	The intervention does not lead to negative impact in protected areas but helps to protect.
E&S Standard 3: Resource Efficiency and Waste and Pollution Management			Level	Annotations
6	Could the intervention increase the consumption of fuel (wood, charcoal, fossil fuel) or water?	N	Low	The intervention will be basically implemented with the use of local materials like sand, stone, aggregate, water and wood. Hence, the chance of consumption of fuel will be very very less.
7	Does the intervention involve substances or activities that could pollute the air, soil, or water?	N	Low	The intervention is only for storing water in a structure and flows towards the hydropower for generating electricity and overflow water to cultivated land. Hence, no water treatment process will be carried out.

Handwritten notes in Hindi: "सभी को सूचित किया जा रहा है" (All are being informed).

Handwritten signature and initials.

				Thus, the intervention will not promote such activities like air/soil/water pollution.
8	Could the intervention generate waste (hazardous or non-hazardous) that cannot be reused, recycled, or adequately disposed of by the beneficiaries, or others?	N	Low	There will be use of local material and non-local material which will be consumed in the structure 100%. So, the probability of generating waste is very minimal. Thus, the intervention could not generate any waste.
9	Could the intervention lead to increased use of agrochemicals?	N	Low	Annotations The intervention itself is a climate resilient. Thus, the intervention could not increase greenhouse gas emissions.
E&S Standard 4: Climate Change				
10	Could the intervention increase greenhouse gas emissions from fuel combustion, changes in land cover, or other sources?	N	Low	
11	Could the intervention expose more people to natural hazards or make some people more vulnerable to natural hazards?	N	Low	
E&S Standard 5: Protection and Human rights				
12	Could the intervention violate the rights of some people (whether beneficiaries or not) or discriminate them?	N	Low	Annotations The beneficiaries are selected from unemployment data (PMEP Source) and verified that the involved workers have no regular income source. The daily wage payment will be through banking system as well.
13	Could the intervention lead to the involuntary resettlement (either physical or economic) of people resettlement?	N	Low	The intervention is implemented to provide green job recovery. Hence, there would be no any involuntary resettlement.
14	Could the intervention lead to child labour?	N	Low	Definition of child labour: ILO Convention 138 or the national legislation, whichever of the two is the strictest. N/A
15	Could the intervention negatively affect any cultural heritage (either tangible or intangible)?	N	Low	N/A
16	Could the intervention involve or affect indigenous peoples or their territories?	N	Low	N/A
E&S 6: Gender Equality				
			Level	Annotations



[Handwritten signature]

[Faint official stamp]

17	Could the intervention lead to gender-based inequalities, discrimination, exclusion, unwanted workload and/or violence?	N	Low	The schemes have been formed based on reconfigured U guideline and the worker to implement this intervention are the beneficiary who have no job. These interventions include those people who are marginalized. Thus, the intervention does not lead such gender-based inequalities, discrimination, exclusion.
E&S Standard 7: Community Health, Security, and Conflict sensitivity				
18	Could the intervention increase tension or conflicts within the community, between neighbouring communities, or between refugees/IDPs and host communities?	N	Low	No such conflicts arise with the implementation of this intervention.
19	Could the intervention become caught up in a war economy?	N	Low	No war economy
21	Could the work arrangements in the intervention pose a risk to the health or safety of the people involved in the intervention?	N	Low	No risk
22	Could the intervention have a negative impact on the health of the community as a whole?	N	Low	No risk
E&S Standard 8: Accountability to Affected Populations				
23	Is there a risk that the voice of some key stakeholders would not be heard in the design, implementation or monitoring of the intervention?	N	Low	The schemes have been selected as per the standard format based on National Planning Policy

श्री लाल शर्मा
 नव निर्माण विभाग
 भारतीय, वायव्य क्षेत्र,
 दिल्ली, प्रो. १००५४

[Handwritten Signature]

विभाग प्रमुख, दिल्ली
 १००५४

Annex 2: Attestation of screening

Name and location of activity:				Gagra khola Laghu Jalbidhit Marmat Aayojana Barekot Rural Municipality, Jajarkot			
Responsible LG unit or office:				Barekot Rural Municipality			
Implementing Organizations, if any):				Barekot Rural Municipality itself			
Expected timing & duration of activity:				40 Days			
Brief summary and main elements of the activity (e.g. from activity brief or similar):				The Scheme is located 18 km far from Barekot Rural Municipality headquarters which lies in the range of 2010 m from mean sea level. Total 80 households will be benefited from the construction of this facility. The Repair and maintenance of RCC canal lining is 150 metres and it helps to generating 80 kw electricity. The direct benefitted households are 723 .			
Result of screening:		Category A / High degree of concern		Category B / Medium degree of concern		Category C / Low degree of concern	
<p>I hereby attest that the screening has been carried out by a person or persons with suitable knowledge and experience, who has/have given undertakings that the work has been done diligently, objectively, and without known biases. The assessment is to the best of our knowledge complete and reflects a professional, evidence- and context-based assessment. Where in doubt, specialist advice and supplementary expertise has been sought.</p>							
Name, position and signature of LG personnel signing this attestation:				Moham Prakash Bahadur Giri, Chief Administrative Officer			
Names, affiliation, and positions of personnel who did the screening for environmental issues:				Karna singh , Barekot Rural Municipality, Ast. Sub-Engineer			
Names, affiliation, and positions of personnel who did the screening for social issues:				Ram Bahadur singh , Barekot Rural Municipality-1, Ward Chairperson			
Screening was done as		team/group		work		Yes	
Screening (Yes/No)							

(Handwritten signature)

(Official stamp)

Was additional specialist advice/support used? (Yes/No) If yes, indicate name, affiliation, and specialisation.	No
Was advice sought from Government Ministry? (Yes/No)	No
Did screening lead to changes in activity design? (Yes/No) If yes, please briefly describe how.	No

Please write any observations, uncertainties, or other factors of importance here. Positive co-benefits of the activity can also be described here. If the activity is categorised of Low Concern/Cat. C, please provide a short description explaining why.

Purposed activity Micro-Hydro Canal Maintenance of Gagra khola Laghu Jalbidhut kulo Marmat scheme is going to be constructed in Berekot Rural municipality-3, Mulsam, Jajarkot under LISP, Karnali Pilot project The LISP Karnali Pilot aims to pilot approaches to support inclusive planning and delivery of local infrastructure and green recovery job creation and to test incentive mechanisms for better performance, capacity building of local governments (Gaunpalikas) and the use of government monitoring and financing instruments. 80 HHs beneficiaries from the locality will be benefitted through the short term employment and around 723 people from community will be directly benefitted after construction of this Micro Hydro maintenance scheme Due to the recent landslide, river scouring and damage of canal, the generation of electricity was affected in this area. community people were generating electricity service by using pipe temporarily. The project will show good results in terms of meeting the project objective of improving electricity service. After the completion of this project, people will get electricity regularly, landslides and river scouring will be protected by gabion works in critical section of the scheme. This project will increase the economic and living standard of this community people & it will increase adapting capacity of Local people and control adverse climatic condition. It creates the employment generation to the caretaker, for the sustainability of the scheme. Also, there is nothing to suspect of any alternation in existing environmental and social aspects due to this project. Hence falls under category-C.

सिद्धि
मन्त्रालय
काठमाडौं
२०७३

सिद्धि
मन्त्रालय
काठमाडौं