



Jadibuti Sankalan Kendra Nirman
Barekot Rural Municipality, Jajarkot

ENVIRONMENTAL AND
SOCIAL RISK SCREENING
CHECKLIST

Annex 1: Screening tool - simplified L1 and L2 questions for community consultation

To be used during the participatory planning process or similar community level consultations, using the following tools: community mapping, community transect walk, community planning, and/or ad-hoc focus group discussions.

| E&S Standard 1: Sustainable Natural Resources Management | | | |
|---|------------|--------------|--|
| | Y/N | Level | Annotations |
| 1 | N | Low | The intervention could not degrade the natural vegetation like forest, wetlands, farming/grazing land or other landscapes of ecological |
| 2 | N | Low | The intervention is going to implement in open ground near the riverbank after feasibility study. Above the structure proposed land there are not any ground water source up to 100m. The existing surface canal which is about 200m far from the site will have no disturbance with this intervention. Hence, the probability of quantity or quality of ground water/surface water alternation is totally null. |
| 3 | N | Low | The intervention is only for collecting herbs and other cash foods purposes. There will be sanitary system management in the collection centre as required. So, the intervention could not degrade soils or increase sediment load due to surface water runoff. |
| E&S Standard 2: Ecosystems and Biodiversity | | | |
| 4 | N | Low | The intervention has no negative impacts on natural habitats, endangered or protected animals or species, ecosystem/biodiversity. |
| 5 | N | Low | The intervention does not lead to negative impact in protected areas but helps to protect. |
| E&S Standard 3: Resource Efficiency and Waste and Pollution Management | | | |
| 6 | N | Low | The intervention will be basically implemented with the use of local materials like sand, stone, aggregate, water and |


Handwritten signature and stamp

Handwritten text and stamp

| | | | | |
|--|--|---|-------|--|
| 7 | Does the intervention involve substances or activities that could pollute the air, soil, or water? | N | Low | wood. Hence, the chance of consumption of food will be very less. |
| 8 | Could the intervention generate waste (hazardous or non-hazardous) that cannot be reused, recycled, or adequately disposed of by the beneficiaries, or others? | N | Low | There will be use of local material and non-local material which will be consumed in the structure 100%. So, the probability of generating waste is very minimal. Thus, the intervention could not generate any waste. |
| 9 | Could the intervention lead to increased use of agrochemicals? | N | Low | |
| E&S Standard 4: Climate Change | | | | |
| 10 | Could the intervention increase greenhouse gas emissions from fuel combustion, changes in land cover, or other sources? | N | Low | Annotations The intervention itself is a climate resilient. Thus, the intervention could not increase greenhouse gas emissions. |
| 11 | Could the intervention expose more people to natural hazards or make some people more vulnerable to natural hazards? | N | Low | |
| E&S Standard 5: Protection and Human rights | | | | |
| 12 | Could the intervention violate the rights of some people (whether beneficiaries or not) or discriminate them? | N | Low | Annotations The beneficiaries are selected from unemployment data (PMEP Source) and verified that the involved workers have no regular income source. The daily wage payment will be through banking system as well. |
| 13 | Could the intervention lead to the involuntary resettlement (either physical or economic) of people resettlement? | N | Low | The intervention is implemented to provide green job recovery. Hence, there would be no any involuntary resettlement. |
| 14 | Could the intervention lead to child labour? | N | Low | Definition of child labour: ILO Convention 138 or the national legislation, whichever of the two is the strictest. N/A |
| 15 | Could the intervention negatively affect any cultural heritage (either tangible or intangible)? | N | Low | N/A |
| 16 | Could the intervention involve or affect indigenous peoples or their territories? | N | Low | N/A |
| E&S 6: Gender Equality | | | | |
| | | | Level | Annotations |


 प्रियंका शर्मा
 प्रमुख, आवास एवं
 शहरी विकास,
 पीसा

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|-----|---|
| 17 | Could the intervention lead to gender-based inequalities, discrimination, exclusion, unwanted workload and/or violence? | N | Low | The schemes have been formed based on reconfigured UC guideline and the worker to implement this intervention are the beneficiary who have no job. These interventions include those people who are marginalized. Thus, the intervention does not lead such gender-based inequalities, discrimination, exclusion. |
| E&S Standard 7: Community Health, Security, and Conflict sensitivity | | | | |
| 18 | Could the intervention increase tension or conflicts within the community, between neighbouring communities, or between refugees/IDPs and host communities? | N | Low | Annotations No such conflicts arise with the implementation of this intervention. |
| 19 | Could the intervention become caught up in a war economy? | N | Low | No war economy |
| 21 | Could the work arrangements in the intervention pose a risk to the health or safety of the people involved in the intervention? | N | Low | No risk |
| 22 | Could the intervention have a negative impact on the health of the community as a whole? | N | Low | No risk |
| E&S Standard 8: Accountability to Affected Populations | | | | |
| 23 | Is there a risk that the voice of some key stakeholders would not be heard in the design, implementation or monitoring of the intervention? | N | Low | Annotations The schemes have been selected as per the standard format based on National Planning Policy |


 Director of
 National Planning Policy
 PO Box 1234

Annex 2: Attestation of screening

| | | | |
|--|---|------------------------------------|---|
| Name and location of activity: | Jadibuti Sankalan Kendra Nirman Barekot Rural Municipality, Jajarkot | | |
| Responsible LG unit or office: | Barekot Rural Municipality | | |
| Implementing Organizations, if any): | Barekot Rural Municipality itself | | |
| Expected timing & duration of activity: | 40 Days | | |
| Brief summary and main elements of the activity (e.g. from activity brief or similar): | The Scheme is located 15 km far from Barekot Rural Municipality headquarters which lies in the range of 1785 m from mean sea level. Total 62 households will be benefitted from the construction of this facility. The major structures constructed of three rooms with size of one 10x6.3 sq.me. and two rooms size are of 3x3 sq.me. and it helps for storage of Approximately 8 tons of herbs in collection centre .The direct benefitted households are 400 . | | |
| Result of screening: Category A / High degree of concern | Category B / Medium degree of concern | Category C / Low degree of concern | ✓ |
| <i>I hereby attest that the screening has been carried out by a person or persons with suitable knowledge and experience, who has/have given undertakings that the work has been done diligently, objectively, and without known biases. The assessment is to the best of our knowledge complete and reflects a professional, evidence- and context-based assessment. Where in doubt, specialist advice and supplementary expertise has been sought.</i> | | | |
| Name, position and signature of LG personnel signing this attestation: | Mohan Prakash Bahadur Giri, Chief Administrative Officer | | |
| Names, affiliation, and positions of personnel who did the screening for environmental issues: | Karna singh , Barekot Rural Municipality, Ast. Sub-Engineer | | |
| Names, affiliation, and positions of personnel who did the screening for social issues: | Ram Bahadur singh , Barekot Rural Municipality-1, Ward Chairperson | | |
| Screening was done as team/group work (Yes/No) | Yes | | |

(Handwritten signature)

(Handwritten text: राजीव प्रेम, पोसा)

| | | |
|--|----------------------|----|
| Was additional specialist (Yes/No) If yes, indicate name, affiliation, and specialisation. | advice/support used? | No |
| Was advice sought from Government (Yes/No) | Ministry? | No |
| Did screening lead to changes in activity design? (Yes/No) If yes, please briefly describe how. | | No |

Please write any observations, uncertainties, or other factors of importance here. Positive co-benefits of the activity can also be described here. If the activity is categorised of Low Concern/Cat. C, please provide a short description explaining why.

Purposed activity Building Construction of Jadibuti Sankalan kendra nirman scheme is going to be constructed in Barekot Rural municipality-4, Daha, Jajarkot under LISP, Karnali Pilot project The LISP Karnali Pilot aims to pilot approaches to support inclusive planning and delivery of local infrastructure and green recovery job creation and to test incentive mechanisms for better performance, capacity building of local governments (Gaunpalikas) and the use of government monitoring and financing instruments. 62 HHs beneficiaries from the locality will be benefitted through the short term employment and around 400 people from community will be directly benefitted after construction of this collection center scheme After the completion of this project, scheme support to increase of their capacity to storage of their collected herbs and productions and improve of their activities and will support to unemployed youth, women and marginalized community through employment and skill development. Human risk including production/collected goods will not be damaged, and the seasonal livelihood opportunities will support of their income generation process. Ultimately improve the livelihood activities for economic empowerment of the marginalized beneficiaries . it will increase adapting capacity of Local people and control adverse climatic condition. It creates the employment generation to the caretaker, for the sustainability of the scheme. Also, there is nothing to suspect of any alternation in existing environmental and social aspects due to this project. Hence falls under category-C.


 प्रकृति रक्षा
 निसर्ग संरक्षण समिति
 नया, जाजरकोट
 नेपाली पर्यावरण
 पोखरा