



ENVIRONMENTAL AND  
SOCIAL RISK SCREENING  
CHECKLIST

Rajura Shirsha Dekhi Baluwa samma  
Tadh bandhab Nirman kary  
Barekot Rural Municipality, Jajarkot

**Annex 1: Screening tool - simplified L1 and L2 questions for community consultation**

To be used during the participatory planning process or similar community level consultations, using the following tools: community mapping, community transect walk, community planning, and/or ad-hoc focus group discussions.

<b>E&amp;S Standard 1: Sustainable Natural Resources Management</b>			
	Y/N	Level	Annotations
1	N	Low	The intervention could not degrade the natural vegetation like forest, wetlands, farming/grazing land or other landscapes of ecological
2	N	Low	The intervention is for protecting the riverbank and maintain the river route in existing line. So, no environmental concern will be carried out.
3	N	Low	With the implementation of this intervention, soil erosion, sediment load due to surface runoff and surface scouring will be control. Hence, no degradation in soils.
<b>E&amp;S Standard 2: Ecosystems and Biodiversity</b>			
4	N	Low	The intervention has no negative impacts on natural habitats, endangered or protected animals or species, ecosystem/biodiversity. With the implementation of this intervention's riverbank will be protected and maintained the existing river ridge.
5	N	Low	The intervention does not lead to negative impact in protected areas but helps to protect.
<b>E&amp;S Standard 3: Resource Efficiency and Waste and Pollution Management</b>			
6	N	Low	The intervention will be basically implemented with the use and filling of local materials like stone in gabion boxes. Hence, the chance of consumption of fuel will be null.
7	N	Low	The intervention is only for riverbank protection. Hence, no water treatment process will be carried out. Thus, the intervention will not promote such activities like air/soil/water-pollution.

Handwritten signature and official stamp of the organization.



8	Could the intervention generate waste (hazardous or non-hazardous) that cannot be reused, recycled, or adequately disposed of by the beneficiaries, or others?	N	Low	<b>Annotations</b> The intervention itself is a climate resilient. Thus, the intervention could not increase greenhouse gas emissions.
9	Could the intervention lead to increased use of agrochemicals?	N	Low	
<b>E&amp;S Standard 4: Climate Change</b>				
10	Could the intervention increase greenhouse gas emissions from fuel combustion, changes in land cover, or other sources?	N	Low	
11	Could the intervention expose more people to natural hazards or make some people more vulnerable to natural hazards?	N	Low	
<b>E&amp;S Standard 5: Protection and Human rights</b>				
12	Could the intervention violate the rights of some people (whether beneficiaries or not) or discriminate them?	N	Low	<b>Annotations</b> The beneficiaries are selected from unemployment data (PMEP Source) and verified that the involved workers have no regular income source. The daily wage payment will be through banking system as well. The intervention is implemented to provide green job recovery. Hence, there would be not any involuntary resettlement.
13	Could the intervention lead to the involuntary resettlement (either physical or economic) of people resettlement?	N	Low	<i>Definition of child labour: ILO Convention 138 or the national legislation, whichever of the two is the strictest.</i>
14	Could the intervention lead to child labour?	N	Low	
15	Could the intervention negatively affect any cultural heritage (either tangible or intangible)?	N	Low	
16	Could the intervention involve or affect indigenous peoples or their territories?	N	Low	
<b>E&amp;S 6: Gender Equality</b>				
17	Could the intervention lead to gender-based inequalities, discrimination, exclusion, unwanted workload and/or violence?	N	Low	<b>Annotations</b> The schemes have been formed based on reconfigured UC guideline and the worker to implement this intervention are the beneficiary who have no job. These interventions include those people who are marginalized. Thus, the intervention does not lead such gender-based inequalities, discrimination, exclusion.
<b>E&amp;S Standard 7: Community Health, Security, and Conflict sensitivity</b>				
			Level	<b>Annotations</b> विकास, स्वास्थ्य, सुरक्षा समसंगी प्रदे, आरक्ष POWA

Handwritten signature and notes in blue ink.

18	Could the intervention increase tension or conflicts within the community, between neighbouring communities, or between refugees/IDPs and host communities?	N	Low	No such conflicts arise with the implementation of this intervention.
19	Could the intervention become caught up in a war economy?	N	Low	No war economy
21	Could the work arrangements in the intervention pose a risk to the health or safety of the people involved in the intervention?	N	Low	No risk
22	Could the intervention have a negative impact on the health of the community as a whole?	N	Low	No risk
<b>Announcements</b>				
23	Is there a risk that the voice of some key stakeholders would not be heard in the design, implementation or monitoring of the intervention?	N	Low	The schemes have been selected as per the standard format based on National Planning Policy

*[Handwritten signature]*

Shri. Anand Kumar  
 For: [illegible]

श्री. अणु कुमार  
 For: [illegible]  
 2015

Annex 2: Attestation of screening

Name and location of activity:		Rajura Shirsha Dekhi Baluwa samma Tadh bandhab Nirman kary Barekot Rural Municipality, Jajarkot	
Responsible LG unit or office:		Barekot Rural Municipality	
Implementing Organizations, if any):		Barekot Rural Municipality itself	
Expected timing & duration of activity:		40 Days	
Brief summary and main elements of the activity (e.g. from activity brief or similar):		The Scheme is located 3 km far from Barekot Rural Municipality headquarters which lies in the range of 1025 from mean sea level. Total 57 households will be benefitted from the construction of this facility. The direct benefitted households are 120 no .	
Result of screening: Category A / High degree of concern		Category B / Medium degree of concern	
Category A / High degree of concern		Category C / Low degree of concern	
<p><i>I hereby attest that the screening has been carried out by a person or persons with suitable knowledge and experience, who has/have given undertakings that the work has been done diligently, objectively, and without known biases. The assessment is to the best of our knowledge complete and reflects a professional, evidence- and context-based assessment. Where in doubt, specialist advice and supplementary expertise has been sought.</i></p>			
Name, position and signature of LG personnel signing this attestation:		Mohan Prakash Bahadur Giri, Chief Administrative Officer	
Names, affiliation, and positions of personnel who did the screening for environmental issues:		Karna singh , Barekot Rural Municipality, Ast. Sub-Engineer	
Names, affiliation, and positions of personnel who did the screening for social issues:		Ram Bahadur singh , Barekot Rural Municipality-1, Ward Chairperson	
Screening was done as	team/group	work	Yes
Screening (Yes/No)	was done as	team/group	work
Was additional specialist advice/support used? (Yes/No)	specialist advice/support	used?	No

  
 शिवराज शिर्शा देखी बलुवा सम्मा तडह बन्धुब निर्माण कार्य  
 जार्जकोट स्थानीय तह, जार्जकोट  
 पौसा



If yes, indicate name, affiliation, and specialisation.

Was advice sought from Government Ministry? No

Did the activity lead to changes in activity design? No

If yes, please briefly describe how.

If the activity is categorised as Low Concern/Cat. C, please provide a short description explaining why.

Purposed activity River Training work of Rajura Shirsha Dekhi Baluwa Samma Tadhbandhan Nirman scheme is going to be constructed in Barekot Rural municipality-5, Mulsum, Jajarkot under LISP, Karnali Pilot project. The LISP Karnali Pilot aims to pilot approaches to support inclusive planning and delivery of local infrastructure and green recovery job creation and to test incentive mechanisms for better performance, capacity building of local governments (Gaunpalikas) and the use of government monitoring and financing instruments. 57 HHs beneficiaries from the locality will be benefitted through the short term employment and around 120 people from community will be directly benefitted after construction of Gabion Protection Work. The project will show good results in terms of meeting the project objective of protecting the Agricultural Land and Community from flood and river scouring. After the completion of this project, river scouring will be protected by gabion works in the section of the scheme. This project will increase the economic and living standard of this community people & it will increase adapting capacity of Local people and control adverse climatic condition. Also, there is nothing to suspect of any alternation in existing environmental and social aspects due to this project. Hence falls under category-C.



*[Handwritten signature]*  
Chief Executive Officer  
District Office, Jajarkot